

Form Approved OMB No. 2940-0001 Approval Expres 7-31-85

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Washington, D.C. 20460

NPDES Compliance Inspection Report

Section A: National Data System Coding										
Transaction Code NPDES		yr/mo/day ins	pec. Type inspector Fac Type							
1 N 2 5 3 A R 0 0 3 6 4	1 2 11 12 0	4 0 4 2 1 17 18	i i i i i							
		4 0 4 2 1 1	R 19 S 20 2							
A F 1 N - 5 4 0 0 0 6	Remarks	1 1 1 1 1 1	;							
	8									
Inspection Work Days Facility Evaluation R	ating Bl	QA	Reserved							
67 69 70 N	71 N 7	2 N 73 74 75	80							
Section B: Facility Data										
Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users discharging to POTW also Entry Time (Date Dermit Official Date										
include POTW name and NPDES permit number) Cedar Chemical Corporation		1000 / April 21, 2004	June 1, 2002							
49 Phillips Road 311			•							
Highway 242 South, Helen, Arkansas Phillips Co	ounty	Exit Time/Date	Permit Expiration Date							
		1045/ April 21, 2004	May 31, 2007							
Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Num	er(s)	Ot	her Pacility Data							
Gary Hill / operator		~	mpic Location							
Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Numb Mike Bates/ Hazardous Waste Division Chief/ 501-862		N-	34-31-04.9							
Arkansas Dept. of Environmental Quality	4/631		90-39-10.2							
8001National Drive/ Post Office Box 8913/ Little Rock,	Arkansas 72219-891	3 Yes X No _								
924	tion C. A P	D-:1								
(S = Satisfactor	fion C: Areas Evaluated y, M = Marginal, U = Uni	satisfactory, N = Not Evaluated)								
N Permit U Flow Measureme	at M	Operations & Maintenance U	Sampling							
U Records/Reports N Self-Monitoring	Program N	Sindge Handling/Disposal	Pollution Prevention							
S Facility Site Review N Compliance Schi	dules N	Pretreatment N	Multimedia							
N Effluent/Receiving Waters N Laboratory	T _N	Storm Water N	Other: Efficent Limits							
	<u>‡ </u>	1.^.								
		Attach additional sheets if necessary)								
Cedar Chemical Corporation was a chemical man finishing pond, equalization pond, biological trea										
wastewater treatment system discharge by pump										
March 2002 filed Chapter 11 bankruptcy. Throu	gh the bankruptcy	court, Cedar Chemical was aba	ndoned to Arkansas							
Department of Environmental Quality control an	_		•							
chemical processes are currently in operation at to process wastewater. At the present time the only										
ponds and from the storm water runoff discharge										
to a letter from EPA sent to ADEQ dated Octobe										
			Date							
Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s) Agency/Office/Telephone/Fax Date Date Arkansas Dept. of Environmental Quality/ Mammoth May 10, 2004										
Sole Washan		Invironmental Quality/ Mammoth	May 10, 2004							
			May 10, 2004							
	Arkansas Dept. of E		May 10, 2004							
Signature of Reviewer	Arkansas Dept. of E Spring/870-625-747	7 /870-625-7699								
Signature of Reviewer	Arkansas Dept. of E	7 /870-625-7699	Date							

wastewater treatment system through Outfall 002 to the Mississippi River." The discharge from the treatment system to Outfall 002 will only be allowed by ADEQ when necessary to relieve some of the volume of water to prevent an uncontrolled release or overflow of the walls of the wastewater treatment ponds. The October 21, 2003 letter stated that when discharging "ADEQ will sample for the necessary parameters required by Cedar's existing NPDES permit and provide the results of that sampling to EPA as soon as possible." EPA also expects ADEQ to "report in writing to {Vivian Hare} the volume of the discharge, the duration of the time which the discharge occurred and any observable impacts on the receiving water" within five (5) days of the discharge. ADEQ has secured through contract a class I operator part time to oversee the wastewater treatment system. The operator has been monitoring the discharge flow and the pH of the discharge flow. The inspection on April 21, 2004 and the review of Department files have revealed the following items:

- 1. The effluent from the discharge point 002 was not being monitored at the frequency as required by the permit in Part 1, pages 1 thru 5. The effluent according to Technical Services Division of ADEQ was last sampled for all the parameters listed in Part 1, pages 1 thru 5 of the permit except Acute Biomonitoring on November 19, 2003, by Water Division staff. The Priority Pollutants and the Acute Biomonitoring according to permit, is to be monitored once per quarter and according to ADEQ Hazardous Waste Division staff there were discharges in the first quarter of 2004 but the discharge was not sampled.
- 2. The NPDES permit for Cedar Chemical requires in Part 1, page 1 that BOD, TSS and COD be monitored once per week when there is a discharge. According to Department records the last time the effluent from 002 discharge point was monitored for these parameters was on November 19, 2003, for the quarterly sample. The facility has had a number of weeks that has had discharges since November 19, 2003, which were not sampled.
- 3. The samples collected on November 19, 2003, were grab samples but the permit requires BOD, TSS, COD, Acute Biomonitoring, and Priority Pollutants to be 24-hour composite sample. The sample is to be collected proportional to flow.
- 4. The results from the monitoring of the effluent on November 19, 2003, have not been submitted to EPA as per the requirements in the October 21, 2003 letter.
- 5. The operator that was at the site was monitoring pH and flow daily when discharging and submitting the information to ADEQ Hazardous Waste Division (HWD). The daily analytical results for flow and pH have not been reported to EPA as directed in the letter dated October 21, 2003, from EPA.
- 6. Not all of the records required in Part II Section C-3 of the permit are being kept by the operator for pH sampling. Records should include the following: (a) date and time of sampling along with date and time of analyses, (b) The name of the person who collected the sample along with person who performed the analyses, (c) The analytical technique or method used, (d) The exact location of sampling, preservatives used and the method of sampling, (e) All the results of analyses.
- 7. The operator who was doing the effluent monitoring for pH was not keeping calibration records nor did the records include maintenance that was performed on the pH meter as required by the NPDES permit in Part II Section C-3.
- 8. Duplicate analysis for pH was not performed by the operator once a batch or once every 10 samples of the batch as required in Part II Section C-3.
- 9. One of the two discharge pumps was not operational at the time of the inspection. The operator was concern that the second pump may go out also. On most permitted facilities that would be sited as a violation of part II Section B-1a, of the permit.
- 10. The operator did not know nor did he have any records on when the flow meter was last calibrated. He knew the meter was calibrated when Cedar Chemical was in operation. No flow checks were being perform to insure that the flow meter was operating within a +/- 10 % of the true value. This is required in Part II Section C-2 of Cedar Chemical NPDES Permit.
- 11. The vegetation on the banks of the lagoons, around the pump stations and control building should be moved and maintained in the very near future. This could be required by the permit in Part II Section B-1.

Since the inspection, ADEQ Hazardous Waste Division staff as drafted a "Memorandum of Understanding" between the Divisions of the Department to address corrective action for most of the items noted above.

		8 - 38469	OMB No. 2040-0003							
United States environm	Approval Expires 7-31-85									
NPDES Complianc	e Inspect	tion I	Report							
	Section A: Nation	al Data Sy	stem Coding		Markini,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
Transaction Code NPDES			yr/mo/day	lns	pec. Type Inspector Fac Type					
1 N 2 5 3 A R 0 0 3 6 4	18	C 19 S 20 2								
Remarks										
A F I N 5 4 - 0 0 0	6 8	<u> </u>		<u> </u>						
Inspection Work Days Facility Evaluation R	aring E	31 (QA		Reserved					
67 D D 1 69 70 3	71 (N 72	N 73 74 75	L	80					
	Section B	: facility	Data							
Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users disci	horging to POTW.	also	Entry Time /Date		Permit Effective Date					
include POTW name and NPDES permit number) Cedar Chemical Corporation			8915 / November 9, 2005		June 1, 2002					
49 Phillips Road 311 Highway 242 South			Exit Time/Date	······································	Permit Expiration Date					
Helenu, Arkansas 72342			1045 / November 9, 2005		May 31, 2007					
Phillips County Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Phone and Fax Numl	wrfo)			T no	Other Facility Data					
Gary Hill Operator/ (870) 995-3502					nple Location:					
Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number Mike Bates/ Hazardoux Waste Division Chief/ (501) 862-										
Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality	VG31		Contacted	4	34 31 ° 64.4" 10 39 * 10.2"					
P.O. Box 8913 Little Rock, Arkansas 72219-8913			Yes No X	Ph.	Ilips County					
	Gon C: Areas Ev	alwated Dr	ering Inexaction							
			sfactory, N = Not Evaluated)							
N Permit N Fluw Measuremen	at Į	<u>s</u> Ope	erations & Maintenance	N	CSO/SSO					
S Records/Reports S Self-Munitoring	Program	N Slu	dge Handling/Disposal	N	Pollution Prevention					
S Facility Site Review N Compliance Sche	dules	N Pr	edreatmen!		Sampling					
S Effluent/Receiving Waters N Laboratory		N Sta	rm Water	N	Other: Effluent Limits					
Section D: Summary	of Findings/Com	ments (Att	ach additional sheets if necessa	A)						
Cedar Chemical Corporation was a chemical manufact										
pond, biological treatment pond, finishing pond, clarifie from Outfall 002 to the Mississippi River. Cedar Chemic										
bankruptcy court, Cedar Chemical was abandoned to Al	DEQ control. At	the time	of inspection, no chemical p	rocess	es were in operation at the site					
and the treatment system is no longer receiving or treatir from the 25 acre site and rainfall events directly into the			. The any injion to the treat	mça(system is sairm water runojj					
In July 2004, a Protocol for the operation of the Cedar										
Technical Assistance Divisions of ADEQ was adopted. A set farth in the protocol. There are a couple of items to n										
the flow meter has not been calibrated and the operator										
Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s)	Felephone -//P701-27		Date							
Steven L. Henderson	ABEY STURGAT	w (0.7 0) 57	3-8846/(870) 673-7236		November 10, 2005					
never 1). Hencerson										
Signature of Reviewer	Agency/Office/	Phone and	l Fax Numbers							
	Signature of Reviewer Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Numbers Date									

Gary Hill

From:

"Foster, Melanie" <FOSTER@adeq.state.ar.us>

To:

"Gary Hill" <bearhill@cox-internet.com> Wednesday, November 02, 2005 9:49 AM

Sent: Subject:

ADEQ COA with 1 Sample per page iff

Gary, here's a copy of the analytical results.

Thanks, Melanie

Report Date:

02-New-05

- CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS -

Attn:

Phone:

Our Lab#: 2005-3082

05-3082

FAX:

Your Sample ID: Cedar Chem Outfall 002

Description: Cedar Chemical AR0036412

Sample Type: Special Sample

Sample Water

Units

Analysis Date

Test Group BOD5 Test

Result 3.63

mg/L

10/19/2005

TOC

5-day biochemical oxygen demand

16.5

mg/L

10/19/2005

TSS

Total Organic Carbon

Total suspended solids

6.25

mg/L

10/19/2005

Reported by: Joff

ruchr

1

Polish Pond

	Dute at	E Three	-Motor Reading	JEEGPN 25	as pit :	Total gallons pumped 🚁	
en en jangelana	9-5-05	- 3 6			****		
- 10000	9.6.05	OFF		*			
· · · · ·	····	0 F.E					¥ " -
ŀ	9-7-05		×			* * - * / / -	The second of the court of the
1	9-8-03	OFF	<u></u>	1			The second secon
oe: -+-mail = 1 total annocal	9-9-05	OFF					
	9-10-05	1 FIE					
·	9-11-05	. OFE.					
·	9-72-05	o <i>FF</i>	Committee Commit	or the same of the	# * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
	9-13-05	OFF		***************************************	-000-1-1		1
[9-14-05	OFF	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	A Complete Andrews		- at constraint interesting]
·	9-15-05	off.		* * *****		The second of th	
/ - / / /	9-16-05	DEF	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				Section of the sectio
	9-17-05	OFF		<u> </u>	i		1 *** **
	9-18-05	OFF					
	9-19-05	OFF		<u> </u>	 	<u> </u>	
•					1		<u> </u>
	9-20-05	0 F j=	<u> </u>	_	ļ		-
	9-21-05	OFF	Į			- NEW	4
	9-22-05	oëF	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ļ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_
Į.	9-23-05	L PEE		<u> </u>			_
	9-24-05	QFF	<u></u>		4 -		
	9.25.05	OFF					
/ · · ·	9-26-05	OFF		- 4°			1
	9-27-05	OFF	**************************************	(na commence de la com	1
``	9-28-05	OFF	*	**************************************		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	9-29-05	OFF					1
			7.429th 227.05	<u> </u>	_		
	9-30-03		1 8 4 8 4 4 W (S. 522) 1 - 1 - 1 - 1		t		
	10-1-05,	off_					↓
*	10-2-05	<u>off</u>	4	-			***************************************
	10-3-05	OFF					i izromiji kalitenim il
	10-4-05	DEE	<u> </u>				
	10-5-05	OFF					
	10-6-05	OFF			·		i "" "
-	10-7-05	OFF	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1	75.		Territorium et (1964)
	10-8-05	DFF					=45 x 44.00 x x x
	10-9-03	OFF	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		1
· ·	10-10-05	OFF		<u> </u>			e is keelika e
					 		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	10-11-05	DEE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	ļ		
	10-12-05	QEE_	·		<u> </u>		
	10-13-05	OFF		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	*	<u> </u>
	10-14-05	0FF		<u>. </u>	<u> </u>		
	10-15-05	OFF	<u> </u>			3 -T-1/2/2 T-2/2/2 T-2/2 T-2/2/2 T-2/2	
un Aldreit in 1944	10-16-05	of F		<u>. </u>			NO POPMAN
	10-17-05	11:40	7086610	NIA	7.19		PACT "
- "	10-18-05	11:40	7286994	134	6.87	200, 384	RIVER
	10-19-05	11:40	7489730	141	4.96	2021 736	The state of the s
	10-20-05	11:40	769 2889	1 741	4.92	2.03. 189	
	10-21-45	MINO	7898305	+- <i>15/4</i>	7.02	203.416	
	10-22-05	11:40	8 0/348	1-741	145		
/-				+- <i>+74</i>	1.13	233243	APPLAL
	10-23-05		8298469	133	3.03	-/97-12-	THE PEPPAR
	10-24-05	96,40	8450087	.1""/.2 3	7.01		LEDNOT ON
		& TAL	GALLONS	PUMPE	·		Will I The work

Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) Official Photograph Sheet

Location:	C	Cedar Chemical, 49 Phillips Road 311, Helena, Arkansas 72342								
Photographer:		Steven 1	L. Henderson	Witness: Gary Hill, Operator		Operator				
Photo #	1	Of	6	Date:	11/09/05	Time:	11:24 a.m.			
Description	:	Primary	Pond							



Photograp	her:	Steven	L. Henderson	Witness:	Gary Hill	Gary Hill, Operator	
Photo #	2	Of	6	Date:	11/09/05	Time:	11:24 a.m.
D		n.				-	

Description: Primary Pond



Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) Official Photograph Sheet

Location:	C	Cedar Chemical, 49 Phillips Road 311, Helena, Arkansas 72342								
Photographer:		Steven I	L. Henderson	Witness:	Gary Hill, Operator					
Photo #	3	Of	6	Date:	11/09/05	Time:	11:25 a.m.			
Description	:	Secondo	ary Pond							



Photograph	ner:	Steven	L. Henderson	Witness:	Gary Hill,	Gary Hill, Operator	
Photo #	4	Of	6	Date:	11/09/05	Time:	11:25 а.т.
D			Test on				

Description: Secondary Pond



Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) Official Photograph Sheet

Location:	C	Cedar Chemical, 49 Phillips Road 242 South, Helena, Arkansas 72342									
Photograph	ner:	Steven I	L. Henderson	Witness:	Gary Hill,	Operator					
Photo #	5	Of	6	Date:	11/09/05	Time:	11:24 a.m.				
Description	:	Finishin	ng Pond								



Photographer:		Steven L. Henderson		Witness:	Witness: Gary Hill,		
Photo #	6	Of	6	Date:	11/09/05	Time:	11:24 a.m.
Description	1:	Finishi	ng Pond				

